SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.: CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

2 5 0 3 6 7

29 Mar. 98

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED. SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

ATTENTION ☐ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS 图 ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

Mc Carthy, H.A. Sgt. ADDRESS OF INCIDENT Alternate response Section via 312.746.9701			991	S	OCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLO	YEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN 021/376 LECATION CODE
			DATE OF INC	ne c	SIAL ALLINE	BEATO	IDENT	
			5 Dec. 9	8	0900	1224		
			STARNO		OCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLO	YEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN
1 Tovar, Carolyn Y.		P/0	4748					006/376
2.				Τ				
SEX/RACE D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMEN	VT.	DUTY STATU	S (7	TIME OF INCIDEN	T) 🛣 swe	ORN	COND.
1. F B 66	29 Jun. 92		TXON DUTY DOFF		OFF DUT		ILIAN	01
2.			ON DUT	OFF DUTY		SWORN CIVILIAN		
IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED 1.	CHARGES			С	OURT BRANCH	DISPOSITIO	N & DATE	
2.								
Z NAME	*ADDRESS**		CITY STATE		TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.U.B./AG	
						F B	25 yoa	cosul OT
Mc Carthy, H.A.	Sergeant star	991 U	nit 376					01
NAME	ADDRESS**		CITY STA	TE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AG	E PHYS. COND CODET
NAME	ADDRESS**		CITY STA	TE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AG	E PHYS.
	NAME 1. Tovar, Carolyn Y. 2. SEX/RACE D.O.B. 1. F B 66 2. IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTEO/INDICTED 1. 2. NAME Mc Carthy, H.A. NAME	NAME 1. Tovar, Carolyn Y. 2. SEX/RACE D.O.B. 1. F B 66 29 Jun. 92 2. CHARGES 1. ADDRESS** Mc Carthy, H.A. Sergeant star ADDRESS**	DRESS OF INCIDENT Iternate response Section via 312.746.9701 NAME 1. Tovar, Carolyn Y. 2. SEX/RACE D.O.B. 1. F B 66 29 Jun. 92 2. CHARGES 1. PAPPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED CHARGES 1. PADDRESS** Mc Carthy, H.A. Sergeant star 991 U. ADDRESS**	DATE OF INCIDENT Iternate response Section via 312.746.9701 NAME 1. Tovar, Carolyn Y. 2. SEX/RACE D.O.B. 1. F B 66 29 Jun. 92 CARGES 1. CITY STA MC Carthy, H.A. Sergeant star 991 Unit 376 ADDRESS.	DATE OF INCIDENT Iternate response Section via 312.746.9701 NAME NAME NAME NAME DATE OF INCIDENT FRANK STAR NO. STAR NO. STAR NO. SEX/RACE D.O.B. DATE OF APPOINTMENT DUTY STATUS (TO NO DUTY DOING THE APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED CHARGES NAME ADDRESS** CITY STATE MC Carthy, H.A. Sergeant star 991 Unit 376 NAME ADDRESS** CITY STATE	DATE OF INCIDENT Liternate response Section via 312.746.9701 NAME 1. Tovar, Carolyn Y. 2. SEX/RACE D.O.B. 1. F B 66 29 Jun. 92 CHARGES CITY STATE TELEPHONE MC Carthy, H.A. DATE OF INCIDENT 5 Dec. 98 0900 SOCIAL SEC. NO. 4748 DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT OFF DUT OFF DUT COURT BRANCH) COURT BRANCH TADDRESS** CITY STATE TELEPHONE MC Carthy, H.A. Sergeant star 991 Unit 376 NAME	DATE OF INCIDENT Iternate response Section via 312.746.9701 NAME 1. Tovar, Carolyn Y. 2. SEX/RACE D.O.B. 1. F B 66 29 Jun. 92 10 ON DUTY OFF DUTY OFF DUTY OFF DUTY 1. TOVATE ARRESTED/INDICTED CHARGES 1. COURT BRANCH DISPOSITION 1. ADDRESS** CITY STATE TELEPHONE SEX/RACE F B MC Carthy, H.A. Sergeant star 991 Unit 376 NAME ADDRESS** CITY STATE TELEPHONE SEX/RACE F B	DATE OF INCIDENT ILternate response Section via 312.746.9701 NAME 1. Tovar, Carolyn Y. 2. SEX/RACE D.O.B. 1. P B 66 29 Jun. 92 DATE OF APPOINTMENT 29 Jun. 92 DOTE OF DUTY OFF DUTY SWORN COURT BRANCH DISPOSITION & DATE ON DUTY F B 2. NAME ADDRESS** CITY STATE TELEPHONE SEX/RACE D.O.B./AG F B 25 you MC Carthy, H.A. Sergeant star 991 Unit 376 NAME ADDRESS** CITY STATE TELEPHONE SEX/RACE D.O.B./AG

 \square see attached sheet for additional accused, complainants, victims, witnesses.

aledges that she filed a police report via TX regarding her pager which was stolen from inside her residence by a deliveryman. The complainant alleges that when she received the police report via US. Mail, the information on the report was incorrect in that it indicated that the property stolen was a cellular telephone and not a pager, and that it was lost on the street rather than stolen from her home. Upon reviewing the tape recording of the exchange between the complainant and the accused, Sgt. Mc Carthy alleges that the accused was inattentive to her duties by taking a personal call during an official investigation which interferred with said investigation.

.A.D. LOCATION CODES*			1.A.I	D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODEST
)1 Food Sales/Restaurant	11	Public Transportation Veh./Facility	01	No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal
)2 Tavern/Liquor Store	12	Park District Property	02	No Visible Injury · Under Influence
Other Business Establishment	13	Airport	0.3	Injured, Not Hospitalized
)4 Police Building	14	Public Property - Other	04	Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence
5 Lockup Facility	15	Other Private Premise	05	Injured, Hospitalized
16 Police Maintenance Facility	16	Expressway/Interstate System	06	Injured, Hospitalized Junder Influence
07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility	17	Public Way - Other	0.7	Injured, Refused Medical Aid
08 Other Police Property	18	Waterway, Incl. Park District	8.0	Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence
19 Police Communications System	19	Private Residence	09	Deceased
0 Court Room			10	Deceased - Under Influence

* F CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation (s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s).

In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Compliment ary History will be included as attachments.

This investigation was returned 18 Mar. 99 for corrections indicated by PA Laura Webb, Assistant Department Advocate. (Att. #5A)

The R/Sgt. was assigned to this investigation 17 Dec. 98 by Sgt. William S. Bratek, star 1479, of this command. Upon assignment, the R/Sgt. reviewed the attached Lost Property case report as well as the cassette tape recording of the contact between the complainant and the accused provided as a matter of ARS protocol. This evidence does not immediately support the complainant's allegation of mis-reporting a theft of property as lost property. Beginning approximately 0932 hr., the complainant explains that her pager is missing from a kitchen counter and she suspects two delivery men who visited 4 Dec. 98 between 1200 and 2100 hr. As the accused begins her preliminary investigation, she pauses to accept an incoming call. From 0935 through 0941 hrs., the accused engages a family member in conversation, and when she returns to the complainant, she does not continue nor complete her preliminary investigation. The accused provides a case report number, but fails to identify the report as a Lost and Found case report. The R/Sgt. is compelled to add a second allegation against the accused for allowing a personal TX to interfere with the delivery of police service. (ATT. #2B, 2C)

On 19 Dec. 98, the R/Sgt. administered the Notification of Charges/Allegations, Administrative Rights and Waiver of Counsel to the accused. The accused requested to secure counsel and her reply was scheduled for 21 Dec. 98 in Unit 376. (ATT. # 3A, 3B, 3C) CONTINUED ON PAGE TWO

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS-DUPLOBLING VETT CVL. THE FATTACHMENT NUMBERS SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBERS LIST AT ACHMENT NUMBERS

PHYSICAL EVIDENCE

TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED ANTHORY FILE

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings, recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.

Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted, 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for days (not to exceed 5 days).

Allegation:

Violation of Rule 10, Inattention to Duty, in that the

accused;

1) Failed to inform the complainant of the correct case report classification. (GO 89-3, Items III-A-2, A-3 and IV-B-9.)

2) Allowing personal business to interfere with an official police investigation. (GO 89-3, Item III-A-6)

Recommendations:

DATE INITIATED

(DATE COMPLAINT WAS 18 Mar. 99
RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION)

COATE COMPLETED (CATE OF THIS 29 Mar. 99

ELAPSED TIME

11

Investigator will initially the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section

SUMMARY DIGEST REPORT/Complaint Registered 2 5 0 3 6 7 Page 2

On 21 Dec. 98, the accused replied to the allegations in writing, affirming her case report classification as correct, and stating that she was not distracted by the personal call, nor did that affect the quality of police service. (ATT. #3D)

His investigation concluded, the R/Sgt. spoke with the complainant via TX 21 Dec. 98. The R/Sgt. explained how this event was classified by the accused, and why it was reported as lost property rather than a theft. The complainant understood and agreed, adding that the pager surfaced the following day. With regards to the misidentification noted in box 8, the R/Sgt. assured the complainant that the property is correctly identified in the narrative, which is not visible on the V.I.N. she received via US. Mail. The complainant agreed that she misunderstood the V.I.N., and the R/Sgt. agreed that this should have been explained by the accused at the time she filed her report.

CONCLUSION: SUSTAINED

At the time of report, the complainant could not locate her pager inside her own home, and she suspected two deliverymen who visited her home previous day of stealing her pager. The preliminary investigator could not draw that same conclusion, and the R/Sgt. concurs. Pagers, as well as cellular telephones are often misplaced in and around the home, and they are routinely recovered a short time later. Lacking further support, a Theft case report would be incorrect. The accused correctly classified this event as lost property. However, the accused allows her preliminary investigation to be interrupted by a personal TX, and when she returns to the investigation, she fails to resume primary responsibilities. This inattention not only allowed an error in the case report to go forward undiscovered, the accused fails to inform the complainant of the case report classification. The R/Sqt. notes that the accused cannot control an incoming call, however, once it was known not to be of an emergency nature, the accused should have discontinued the interruption to resume her investigation. Following a review of complimentary and disciplinary histories, the R/Sqt. makes the following recommendation.

RECOMMENDATION: Reprimand

Sqt. H.A. Mc Carthy, star 991 Alternate Response Section Chicago Police Department/376